

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,943	STRONG, JOSEPH M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carolyn T. Blake	3724	

All Participants:

(1) Carolyn T. Blake.

(2) Robert Doherty (Reg. No. 20,272).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2006

Time: 5:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative because the application is in condition for allowance with the exception of a minor problem in the drawings. The reference numbers "14" and "18" mentioned in the specification on page 7 are not shown in the drawings. Applicant agreed to correct this, and faxed a proposed amendment to the examiner, which is attached. In addition, Applicant agreed to an Examiner's Amendment cancelling claims 1-3 and deleting the word "type" from claim 4.

Certification of Transmission
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
faxed to the U.S. Patent Office attention
Examiner C. Blake this 11 74 day of February 2006
at Fax No. 5/1.2/3.4503.

By: 

Robert J. Doherty

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of
JOSEPH M. STRONGExaminer: Blake, C.
Group No.: 3724

Serial No. 10,757943


For: BLADE ASSEMBLY FOR DOUBLE END NIBBLER TOOL

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA

Sir:

R E S P O N S E

Attached are proposed reference numeral changes to
Fig. 1 of the drawings wherein reference numerals 14 and
18 are added thereto. The added numerals are circled for
emphasis but such circling will be removed in the replacement
drawing.

Respectfully submitted, 

Robert J. Doherty

R.J. Doherty, Esq.
10 George St.
Barrington, R.I. 02806
Tel. 401/431-1320

Proposed Drug Changes Ex. C. Blake
Ser. No. 10/757 943 AU 3724

